UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,968	12/22/2005	Hideo Hosono	053329	1632
38834 7590 08/18/2008 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700			EXAMINER	
			KUNEMUND, ROBERT M	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/561,968	HOSONO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Robert M. Kunemund	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IN WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice unit in t	This action is non-final.	• •		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-12,15 and 16 is/are pending i 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,15 and 16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to l to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/561,968 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hosono et al (2002-003218 and 2003238149).

The Hosono et al references teach a mixed crystal compound, note entire references. The mixed crystal is 12CaO:7Al2O3. The compound is treated to create an active oxygen with a content of 10¹⁸ /cm3 or more, note translated abs. The references do not set forth the conductivity and ratio of oxygen in cages to free. However, the properties would inherently be similar since the treatment is similar in nature. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Hosono et al references to create the claimed properties in order to increase oxidation at lower temperatures increasing the use of the compound as a catalyst or antibacterial material.

Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hosono et al (2002-003218 and 2003238149) both in view of Yamaguchi et al (comm.. of the American Ceramic Society).

The Hosono et al references are relied on for the same reasons as stated, supra, and differs form the instant claims in the specific compound. However, the Yamaguchi et al reference teaches 12SrO:7Al2O3 and that it is treated by the same means as 12CaO:7Al2O3, note page c-36. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify both the Hosono et al references by the teachings of the Yamaguchi et al reference to use 12SrO:7Al2O3 in order to increase the use of the compound.

Response to Applicants' arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 9, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1792

Applicants' argument concerning claims 1,3,4,6,15 and 16 is noted. However, applicants have not shown or supplied any evidence on the record that the products of the prior art references are outside the claimed ranges. Also, there is no showing that the ranges are unobvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. As set forth, in the bachground of the invention, it is well known and accepted in this art to change the ion electron composition of these materials. Thus, the ranges would if not inherent would have been obvious in view of the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert M. Kunemund whose telephone number is 571-272-1464. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 hours.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,968 Page 5

Art Unit: 1792

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Kornakov can be reached on 571-272-1303. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert M Kunemund Primary Examiner Art Unit 1792

RMK

/Robert M Kunemund/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792